

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/757,454	01/10/2001	Narendra K. Gupta	2000-0163	8135
7590	01/05/2004			
Wendy W. Koba, Esq. P.O. Box 556 Springtown, PA 18081			EXAMINER PHAN, JOSEPH T	
			ART UNIT 2645	PAPER NUMBER 15
DATE MAILED: 01/05/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/757,454

Applicant(s)

GUPTA ET AL.

Examiner

Joseph T Phan

Art Unit

2645

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 October 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 14.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

**Claims 1-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barkat et al., Patent #5,805,672.**

Regarding claim 1, Barkat teaches a voice-operated arrangement for interacting with a dual-tone multifrequency (DTMF)-controlled system, the arrangement comprising:  
a speech recognition unit responsive to voice commands from a user and generating a digital signal representative of a particular received voice command (col.4 lines 20)

a speech-to-DTMF tones application, responsive to the digital signal outputs from the speech recognition unit for accessing a proper user record from a plurality of user records (col.3 lines 34-51 and col.4 lines 8-54)

retrieving dial-out information for a DTMF-controlled system associated with the user and completing a communication path between the user and said associated DTMF-controlled system, wherein said voice-operated arrangement monitors the communication path and retrieves predetermined voice commands uttered by the user

and translates said predetermined voice prompts into DTMF tones which are thereafter transmitted to said associated DTMF-controlled system (col.5 lines 10-30)

Regarding claims 2 and 3, Barkat teaches the arrangement as defined in claim 1 wherein each user record includes a spoken voice identification field(col.4 lines 46-67)

Regarding claim 4, Barkat teaches the arrangement as defined in claim 1 wherein a plurality of different DTMF-controlled systems are associated with a user and the user record comprises a plurality of different fields for each DTMF-controlled system of said plurality of DTMF-controlled systems (*col.4 lines 8-67*)

Regarding claim 5, Barkat teaches the arrangement as defined in claim 4 wherein the plurality of different fields for each DTMF-controlled system of the plurality of DTMF-controlled systems in a user record comprises a dial-out access number for each DTMF-controlled system and a mapping of a plurality of voice commands to an associated plurality of DTMF tone sequences (*col.5 lines 6-67*)

Regarding claim 6, Barkat teaches the arrangement as defined in claim 5 wherein the plurality of different fields further comprises a series of DTMF tones for accessing the proper DTMF-controlled system within the plurality of DTMF-controlled systems (*col.5 lines 6-67*).

Regarding claim 7, Barkat teaches the arrangement as defined in claim 1 wherein at least one DMTF-controlled is a voice messaging system (*col.5 lines 6-67*)

Regarding claim 8, Barkat teaches a method for interacting with at least one DTMF-controlled telecommunications system, the method comprising the steps of:

a) accessing, by a user, a speech-to-DTMF tone application(*col.1 lines 56-63*);

b) retrieving a proper user record for the user identified in step a) (col.4 lines 8-67)

c) dialing out, by the application, to a DTMF-controlled system included in the user record retrieved in step b) (col.5 lines 6-67).

d) bridging together the call between the user and the application and the call between the application and the DTMF-controlled system (col.5 lines 6-67).

e) in response to predefined voice commands uttered by the user and received by the speech-to-DTMF tone application, translating said voice commands into one or more DTMF tones accepted as commands by the DTMF-controlled system; and f) transmitting said translated DTMF tone commands from the speech-to-DTMF tone application to the DTMF-controlled system (col.5 lines 6-67).

Regarding claim 9, Barkat teaches the method as defined in claim 8 wherein in performing step a), the method comprises the additional step of authorizing a user by requesting and validating a spoken user password (col.3 lines 34-51)

Regarding claim 10, Barkat teaches the method as defined in claim 8 wherein the method is used for retrieving messages from a plurality of different messaging systems associated with a single user, the method comprising the further steps of g) querying the user record for additional DTMF-controlled system fields; and h) repeating steps c) - f) for each additional DTMF-controlled system (col.4 line 8-col.5 line 67).

Regarding claim 11, Barkat teaches a method for enabling a user to interact with a DTMF-controlled system via voice commands, the method comprising:

a) accessing a user record from a plurality of user records, the user record including dial-out information associated with DTMF-controlled systems(col.1 lines 56-63 and col.4 lines 8-67);

b) receiving user access through a speech-to-DTMF tone application, the receiver access being accomplished by automatically dialing a number associated with a DTMF-controlled system from the accessed user record (col.4 lines 8-67 and col.5 lines 6-67);

c) establishing a communication link between the speech-to-DTMF tone application and a DTMF-controlled system (col.5 lines 6-67).

d) bridging, via the speech-to-DTMF tone application, communication between the user and the DTMF-controlled system(col.5 lines 6-67); and

e) translating a received voice command from the user into a DTMF tone for use by the DTMF-controlled system (col.5 lines 6-67).

Regarding claim 12, Barkat teaches the method of claim 11, wherein the DTMF-controlled system listed in the user record is associated with the user(col.4 lines 8-67 and col.5 lines 6-67).

Regarding claim 13, see Barkat col.5 lines 6-67.

Regarding claim 14, see Barkat col.3 lines 34-51(user access via a spoken password.

Regarding claim 15, see Barkat for each additional DTMF-controlled system fields(col.4 line 8-col.5 line 67).

Regarding claim 16, see Barkat\_(col.4 line 8-col.5 line 67).

**Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph T Phan whose telephone number is 703-305-3206. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 9:30-6:30, in every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on 703-305-4895. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-9600.

*JTP*  
December 24, 2003

*JTP*

FAN TSANG  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

*Fan Tsang*